

### Means of Transportation to Work by Municipality, 2012 - 2016 (Five-Year Estimates)

Municipality	Workers 16 years and over	Car, truck, or van - drove alone		Car, truck, or van - carpooled		Public Transportation		Walked		Other means		Worked at home		Mean travel time to work (Minutes)
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Boonton	4,891	3,976	81.3%	331	6.8%	104	2.1%	297	6.1%	26	0.5%	157	3.2%	26.3
Boonton Twp.	1,938	1,516	78.2%	146	7.5%	99	5.1%	13	0.7%	22	1.1%	142	7.3%	32.8
Butler	4,605	3,335	72.4%	624	13.6%	298	6.5%	136	3.0%	97	2.1%	115	2.5%	30.2
Chatham Boro.	4,180	2,434	58.2%	176	4.2%	1,047	25.0%	121	2.9%	28	0.7%	374	8.9%	38.4
Chatham Twp.	4,609	3,222	69.9%	147	3.2%	756	16.4%	37	0.8%	54	1.2%	393	8.5%	36.7
Chester Boro.	636	481	75.6%	34	5.3%	3	0.5%	72	11.3%	8	1.3%	38	6.0%	30.3
Chester Twp.	3,850	3,150	81.8%	78	2.0%	170	4.4%	34	0.9%	62	1.6%	356	9.2%	38.6
Denville	8,627	7,388	85.6%	348	4.0%	370	4.3%	93	1.1%	82	1.0%	346	4.0%	30.5
Dover	10,572	6,568	62.1%	2,413	22.8%	641	6.1%	357	3.4%	394	3.7%	199	1.9%	24.4
East Hanover	5,515	4,528	82.1%	360	6.5%	264	4.8%	74	1.3%	55	1.0%	234	4.2%	26.6
Florham Park	6,105	4,258	69.7%	405	6.6%	569	9.3%	346	5.7%	24	0.4%	503	8.2%	29.1
Hanover	7,146	6,164	86.3%	474	6.6%	246	3.4%	3	0.0%	32	0.4%	227	3.2%	25.2
Harding	1,593	1,264	79.3%	88	5.5%	158	9.9%	46	2.9%	0	0.0%	37	2.3%	30.8
Jefferson	11,028	9,380	85.1%	584	5.3%	413	3.7%	88	0.8%	55	0.5%	508	4.6%	37.4
Kinnelon	5,213	4,252	81.6%	297	5.7%	255	4.9%	88	1.7%	25	0.5%	296	5.7%	33.6
Lincoln Park	5,524	4,759	86.2%	355	6.4%	126	2.3%	108	2.0%	32	0.6%	144	2.6%	25.5
Long Hill	4,716	3,498	74.2%	250	5.3%	542	11.5%	161	3.4%	14	0.3%	251	5.3%	33.0
Madison	7,603	5,128	67.4%	502	6.6%	856	11.3%	524	6.9%	91	1.2%	502	6.6%	29.2
Mendham Boro.	2,169	1,737	80.1%	99	4.6%	70	3.2%	55	2.5%	8	0.4%	200	9.2%	32.7
Mendham Twp.	2,654	2,232	84.1%	65	2.4%	71	2.7%	26	1.0%	31	1.2%	229	8.6%	31.3
Mine Hill	1,676	1,353	80.7%	219	13.1%	37	2.2%	8	0.5%	11	0.7%	48	2.9%	27.5
Montville	11,262	9,077	80.6%	651	5.8%	724	6.4%	138	1.2%	30	0.3%	642	5.7%	31.2
Morris Twp.	11,073	8,729	78.8%	483	4.4%	624	5.6%	221	2.0%	112	1.0%	904	8.2%	30.6
Morris Plains	2,992	2,365	79.0%	82	2.7%	292	9.8%	23	0.8%	54	1.8%	176	5.9%	30.1
Morristown	11,632	8,419	72.4%	1,010	8.7%	540	4.6%	948	8.1%	204	1.8%	511	4.4%	23.8
Mountain Lakes	1,751	1,273	72.7%	59	3.4%	183	10.5%	20	1.1%	18	1.0%	198	11.3%	31.9
Mount Arlington	2,711	2,122	78.3%	289	10.7%	0	0.0%	16	0.6%	0	0.0%	284	10.5%	38.2
Mount Olive	14,688	12,449	84.8%	997	6.8%	392	2.7%	100	0.7%	174	1.2%	576	3.9%	34.1
Netcong	1,808	1,568	86.7%	139	7.7%	10	0.6%	28	1.5%	8	0.4%	55	3.0%	25.0
Parsippany	27,151	22,410	82.5%	1,661	6.1%	1,156	4.3%	418	1.5%	302	1.1%	1,204	4.4%	28.3
Pequannock	7,153	5,749	80.4%	404	5.6%	391	5.5%	88	1.2%	157	2.2%	364	5.1%	28.5
Randolph	13,532	10,650	78.7%	992	7.3%	655	4.8%	251	1.9%	50	0.4%	934	6.9%	34.4
Riverdale	2,551	2,295	90.0%	102	4.0%	56	2.2%	0	0.0%	11	0.4%	87	3.4%	29.9
Rockaway Boro.	3,427	2,768	80.8%	333	9.7%	70	2.0%	55	1.6%	18	0.5%	183	5.3%	26.8
Rockaway Twp.	13,037	10,934	83.9%	833	6.4%	415	3.2%	87	0.7%	61	0.5%	707	5.4%	30.1
Roxbury	12,053	9,921	82.3%	830	6.9%	331	2.7%	42	0.3%	47	0.4%	882	7.3%	33.8
Victory Gardens	821	613	74.7%	158	19.2%	14	1.7%	15	1.8%	14	1.7%	7	0.9%	25.0
Washington	9,149	7,547	82.5%	568	6.2%	137	1.5%	89	1.0%	23	0.3%	785	8.6%	38.4
Wharton	3,228	2,495	77.3%	499	15.5%	94	2.9%	109	3.4%	14	0.4%	17	0.5%	23.6
<b>Morris County</b>	<b>254,869</b>	<b>202,007</b>	<b>79.3%</b>	<b>18,085</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>13,179</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>5,335</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>2,448</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>13,815</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>30.6</b>
<b>New Jersey</b>	<b>4,233,592</b>	<b>3,035,507</b>	<b>71.7%</b>	<b>342,456</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>474,568</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>127,655</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>78,347</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>175,059</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>31.2</b>

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 - 2016 American Community Survey

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error, which may be relatively large for smaller geographies and population sub-groups. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error.

For information on ACS methodology, see: <http://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/methodology.html>

For information on Accuracy of Data, see: <http://census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/technical-documentation/code-lists.html>

Table Revised: December 2017