

Median Contract Rent - Municipalities, 2000 and 2011 - 2015 (Five-Year Estimates)

Municipality	Median Contract Rent		
	2000	2011 - 2015	% Change
Boonton	\$817	\$1,162	42.2%
Boonton Twp.	\$980	\$1,610	64.3%
Butler	\$739	\$1,010	36.7%
Chatham Boro.	\$1,018	\$1,516	48.9%
Chatham Twp.	\$1,238	\$1,739	40.5%
Chester Boro.	\$741	\$1,203	62.3%
Chester Twp.	\$1,176	-	-
Denville	\$1,049	\$1,540	46.8%
Dover	\$782	\$1,118	43.0%
East Hanover	\$1,255	\$1,361	8.4%
Florham Park	\$731	\$2,025	177.0%
Hanover	\$1,004	\$1,957	94.9%
Harding	\$1,080	\$1,595	47.7%
Jefferson	\$754	\$995	32.0%
Kinnelon	\$1,288	\$1,599	24.1%
Lincoln Park	\$879	\$1,439	63.7%
Long Hill	\$886	\$1,465	65.3%
Madison	\$960	\$1,437	49.7%
Mendham Boro.	\$1,092	\$1,676	53.5%
Mendham Twp.	\$956	\$1,535	60.6%
Mine Hill	\$1,045	\$1,046	0.1%
Montville	\$1,049	\$1,386	32.1%
Morris Twp.	\$929	\$1,440	55.0%
Morris Plains	\$935	\$1,650	76.5%
Morristown	\$862	\$1,354	57.1%
Mountain Lakes	\$1,554	\$1,632	5.0%
Mount Arlington	\$770	\$1,436	86.5%
Mount Olive	\$763	\$1,066	39.7%
Netcong	\$688	\$992	44.2%
Parsippany	\$771	\$1,145	48.5%
Pequannock	\$680	\$1,919	182.2%
Randolph	\$848	\$1,191	40.4%
Riverdale	\$872	\$1,803	106.8%
Rockaway Boro.	\$804	\$1,181	46.9%
Rockaway Twp.	\$876	\$1,407	60.6%
Roxbury	\$704	\$996	41.5%
Victory Gardens	\$722	\$937	29.8%
Washington	\$899	\$1,235	37.4%
Wharton	\$761	\$1,135	49.1%
Morris County	\$813	\$1,235	51.9%
New Jersey	\$672	\$1,055	57.0%

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census and 2011 - 2015 American Community Survey

NOTES: For Chester Township, too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate as part of the 2011 - 2015 American Community Survey.

The 2000 housing unit count for Netcong, Roxbury and New Jersey was revised by the Census Bureau under the CQR Program after the initial release of the Census figures; however, these revisions were not included in other enumerated data (including this table). See notes and corrections.

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error, which may be relatively large for smaller geographies and population sub-groups. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error.

For information on ACS methodology, see: <http://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/methodology.html>

For information on Accuracy of Data, see: <http://census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/technical-documentation/code-lists.html>

Table Revised: December 2016