

Per Capita Income, 1999 and 2011 - 2015 (Five-Year Estimates)

Municipality	Per Capita Income			Per Capita Income State Ranking*	
	1999	2011 - 2015	% Change	1999	2011 - 2015
Boonton	\$29,919	\$40,762	36.2%	210	230
Boonton Twp.	\$45,014	\$59,208	31.5%	65	80
Butler	\$27,113	\$36,913	36.1%	272	290
Chatham Boro.	\$53,027	\$68,357	28.9%	31	35
Chatham Twp.	\$65,497	\$86,427	32.0%	11	7
Chester Boro.	\$42,564	\$52,989	24.5%	80	102
Chester Twp.	\$55,353	\$69,017	24.7%	26	33
Denville	\$38,607	\$51,554	33.5%	104	117
Dover	\$18,056	\$22,432	24.2%	517	529
East Hanover	\$32,129	\$43,593	35.7%	173	181
Florham Park	\$42,133	\$56,019	33.0%	82	90
Hanover	\$37,661	\$50,694	34.6%	112	123
Harding	\$72,689	\$100,929	38.9%	8	2
Jefferson	\$27,950	\$40,474	44.8%	252	237
Kinnelon	\$45,796	\$62,812	37.2%	60	66
Lincoln Park	\$30,389	\$35,027	15.3%	198	328
Long Hill	\$42,613	\$57,633	35.2%	79	84
Madison	\$38,416	\$57,628	50.0%	106	85
Mendham Boro.	\$48,629	\$56,218	15.6%	44	89
Mendham Twp.	\$61,460	\$87,981	43.2%	17	5
Mine Hill	\$27,119	\$31,578	16.4%	271	396
Montville	\$43,341	\$56,743	30.9%	72	87
Morris Twp.	\$54,782	\$65,412	19.4%	27	49
Morris Plains	\$36,553	\$52,461	43.5%	126	111
Morristown	\$30,086	\$45,286	50.5%	207	161
Mountain Lakes	\$65,086	\$85,726	31.7%	13	10
Mount Arlington	\$32,222	\$49,670	54.1%	168	134
Mount Olive	\$28,691	\$42,697	48.8%	236	196
Netcong	\$23,472	\$30,659	30.6%	387	414
Parsippany	\$32,220	\$41,448	28.6%	169	221
Pequannock	\$31,892	\$42,703	33.9%	177	195
Randolph	\$43,072	\$56,396	30.9%	75	88
Riverdale	\$31,187	\$50,335	61.4%	187	124
Rockaway Boro.	\$26,500	\$39,806	50.2%	291	244
Rockaway Twp.	\$33,184	\$43,202	30.2%	158	189
Roxbury	\$30,174	\$41,923	38.9%	204	208
Victory Gardens	\$20,616	\$19,175	-7.0%	460	545
Washington	\$37,489	\$53,044	41.5%	113	101
Wharton	\$25,168	\$27,720	10.1%	329	475
Morris County	\$36,964	\$49,552	34.1%	-	-
New Jersey	\$27,006	\$36,582	35.5%	-	-

* Ranked from a total of 564 municipalities in New Jersey

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census and 2011 - 2015 American Community Survey

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error, which may be relatively large for smaller geographies and population sub-groups. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error.

For information on ACS methodology, see: <http://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/methodology.html>

For information on Accuracy of Data, see: <http://census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/technical-documentation/code-lists.html>

Table Revised: December 2016