

**Median Value for Specified Owner-Occupied Housing
Units - Municipalities, 2000 and 2011 - 2015
(Five-Year Estimates)**

Municipality	Median Value		
	2000	2011 - 2015	% Change
Boonton	\$212,000	\$375,200	77.0%
Boonton Twp.	\$322,600	\$530,900	64.6%
Butler	\$187,500	\$339,500	81.1%
Chatham Boro.	\$376,900	\$706,800	87.5%
Chatham Twp.	\$449,000	\$819,200	82.4%
Chester Boro.	\$313,600	\$493,500	57.4%
Chester Twp.	\$407,900	\$667,500	63.6%
Denville	\$228,300	\$381,400	67.1%
Dover	\$150,500	\$262,300	74.3%
East Hanover	\$322,800	\$495,600	53.5%
Florham Park	\$322,400	\$610,000	89.2%
Hanover	\$286,100	\$471,200	64.7%
Harding	\$665,400	\$1,166,000	75.2%
Jefferson	\$180,400	\$323,700	79.4%
Kinnelon	\$354,000	\$541,400	52.9%
Lincoln Park	\$194,300	\$331,800	70.8%
Long Hill	\$297,000	\$460,300	55.0%
Madison	\$362,400	\$660,400	82.2%
Mendham Boro.	\$397,000	\$611,400	54.0%
Mendham Twp.	\$556,200	\$862,100	55.0%
Mine Hill	\$161,900	\$292,700	80.8%
Montville	\$346,600	\$545,800	57.5%
Morris Twp.	\$350,400	\$552,500	57.7%
Morris Plains	\$282,500	\$466,900	65.3%
Morristown	\$224,400	\$404,800	80.4%
Mountain Lakes	\$488,900	\$811,100	65.9%
Mount Arlington	\$183,700	\$294,400	60.3%
Mount Olive	\$197,800	\$349,700	76.8%
Netcong	\$147,400	\$269,800	83.0%
Parsippany	\$234,100	\$406,100	73.5%
Pequannock	\$246,100	\$372,500	51.4%
Randolph	\$329,800	\$510,300	54.7%
Riverdale	\$210,200	\$333,700	58.8%
Rockaway Boro.	\$187,200	\$334,000	78.4%
Rockaway Twp.	\$206,200	\$342,100	65.9%
Roxbury	\$207,400	\$344,300	66.0%
Victory Gardens	\$117,100	\$189,900	62.2%
Washington	\$279,300	\$439,200	57.3%
Wharton	\$165,300	\$275,200	66.5%
Morris County	\$257,400	\$423,400	64.5%
New Jersey	\$170,800	\$315,900	85.0%

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census and 2011 - 2015 American Community Survey

The 2000 housing unit count for Netcong, Roxbury and New Jersey was revised by the Census Bureau under the CQR Program after the initial release of the Census figures; however, these revisions were not included in other enumerated data (including this table). See notes and corrections.

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error, which may be relatively large for smaller geographies and population sub-groups. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error.

For ACS methodology, see: <http://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/methodology.html>

For Accuracy of Data, see: <http://census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/technical-documentation/cc>

Table Revised: December 2016