

**Median Contract Rent - Municipalities,  
2000 and 2010 - 2014 (Five-Year Estimates)**

Municipality	Median Contract Rent		
	2000	2010 - 2014	% Change
Boonton	\$817	\$1,159	41.9%
Boonton Twp.	\$980	\$1,730	76.5%
Butler	\$739	\$1,014	37.2%
Chatham Boro.	\$1,018	\$1,462	43.6%
Chatham Twp.	\$1,238	\$1,740	40.5%
Chester Boro.	\$741	\$983	32.7%
Chester Twp.	\$1,176	-	-
Denville	\$1,049	\$1,592	51.8%
Dover	\$782	\$1,112	42.2%
East Hanover	\$1,255	\$1,323	5.4%
Florham Park	\$731	\$1,967	169.1%
Hanover	\$1,004	\$1,984	97.6%
Harding	\$1,080	\$1,366	26.5%
Jefferson	\$754	\$881	16.8%
Kinnelon	\$1,288	\$1,639	27.3%
Lincoln Park	\$879	\$1,399	59.2%
Long Hill	\$886	\$1,537	73.5%
Madison	\$960	\$1,464	52.5%
Mendham Boro.	\$1,092	\$1,694	55.1%
Mendham Twp.	\$956	\$997	4.3%
Mine Hill	\$1,045	\$1,027	-1.7%
Montville	\$1,049	\$1,429	36.2%
Morris Twp.	\$929	\$1,379	48.4%
Morris Plains	\$935	\$1,626	73.9%
Morristown	\$862	\$1,353	57.0%
Mountain Lakes	\$1,554	\$1,872	20.5%
Mount Arlington	\$770	\$1,464	90.1%
Mount Olive	\$763	\$1,069	40.1%
Netcong	\$688	\$987	43.5%
Parsippany	\$771	\$1,143	48.2%
Pequannock	\$680	\$1,883	176.9%
Randolph	\$848	\$1,166	37.5%
Riverdale	\$872	\$1,834	110.3%
Rockaway Boro.	\$804	\$1,114	38.6%
Rockaway Twp.	\$876	\$1,374	56.8%
Roxbury	\$704	\$1,050	49.1%
Victory Gardens	\$722	\$961	33.1%
Washington	\$899	\$1,202	33.7%
Wharton	\$761	\$1,191	56.5%
<b>Morris County</b>	<b>\$813</b>	<b>\$1,227</b>	<b>50.9%</b>
<b>New Jersey</b>	<b>\$672</b>	<b>\$1,046</b>	<b>55.7%</b>

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census and 2010 - 2014 American Community Survey

NOTES: For Chester Township, too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate as part of the 2010 - 2014 American Community Survey.

The 2000 housing unit count for Netcong, Roxbury and New Jersey was revised by the Census Bureau under the CQR Program after the initial release of the Census figures; however, these revisions were not included in other enumerated data (including this table). See notes and corrections.

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error, which may be relatively large for smaller geographies and population sub-groups. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error.

For information on ACS methodology, see: [http://www.census.gov/acs/www/methodology/methodology\\_main](http://www.census.gov/acs/www/methodology/methodology_main)

For information on Accuracy of Data, see: [http://www.census.gov/acs/www/data\\_documentation/documentation\\_main](http://www.census.gov/acs/www/data_documentation/documentation_main)

Table Revised: December 2015