

Per Capita Income, 1999 and 2010 - 2014 (Five-Year Estimates)

Municipality	Per Capita Income			Per Capita Income State Ranking*	
	1999	2010 - 2014	% Change	1999	2010 - 2014
Boonton	\$29,919	\$38,432	28.5%	210	259
Boonton Twp.	\$45,014	\$61,817	37.3%	65	64
Butler	\$27,113	\$37,498	38.3%	272	273
Chatham Boro.	\$53,027	\$70,315	32.6%	31	30
Chatham Twp.	\$65,497	\$83,699	27.8%	11	12
Chester Boro.	\$42,564	\$49,976	17.4%	80	124
Chester Twp.	\$55,353	\$70,884	28.1%	26	29
Denville	\$38,607	\$50,804	31.6%	104	116
Dover	\$18,056	\$22,011	21.9%	517	530
East Hanover	\$32,129	\$44,652	39.0%	173	169
Florham Park	\$42,133	\$53,216	26.3%	82	103
Hanover	\$37,661	\$48,858	29.7%	112	132
Harding	\$72,689	\$97,594	34.3%	8	2
Jefferson	\$27,950	\$40,429	44.6%	252	230
Kinnelon	\$45,796	\$60,227	31.5%	60	74
Lincoln Park	\$30,389	\$34,992	15.1%	198	327
Long Hill	\$42,613	\$54,175	27.1%	79	96
Madison	\$38,416	\$58,439	52.1%	106	80
Mendham Boro.	\$48,629	\$59,062	21.5%	44	78
Mendham Twp.	\$61,460	\$90,703	47.6%	17	5
Mine Hill	\$27,119	\$35,202	29.8%	271	323
Montville	\$43,341	\$55,994	29.2%	72	88
Morris Twp.	\$54,782	\$63,810	16.5%	27	57
Morris Plains	\$36,553	\$51,551	41.0%	126	112
Morristown	\$30,086	\$43,423	44.3%	207	184
Mountain Lakes	\$65,086	\$78,906	21.2%	13	17
Mount Arlington	\$32,222	\$47,154	46.3%	168	148
Mount Olive	\$28,691	\$41,215	43.7%	236	222
Netcong	\$23,472	\$29,191	24.4%	387	446
Parsippany	\$32,220	\$40,050	24.3%	169	235
Pequannock	\$31,892	\$44,396	39.2%	177	174
Randolph	\$43,072	\$55,827	29.6%	75	89
Riverdale	\$31,187	\$48,870	56.7%	187	131
Rockaway Boro.	\$26,500	\$37,327	40.9%	291	277
Rockaway Twp.	\$33,184	\$44,621	34.5%	158	171
Roxbury	\$30,174	\$41,312	36.9%	204	219
Victory Gardens	\$20,616	\$18,311	-11.2%	460	546
Washington	\$37,489	\$54,732	46.0%	113	93
Wharton	\$25,168	\$27,063	7.5%	329	480
Morris County	\$36,964	\$48,928	32.4%	-	-
New Jersey	\$27,006	\$36,359	34.6%	-	-

* Ranked from a total of 564 municipalities in New Jersey

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census and 2010 - 2014 American Community Survey

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error, which may be relatively large for smaller geographies and population sub-groups. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error.

For information on ACS methodology, see: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/methodology/methodology_main

For information on Accuracy of Data, see: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/data_documentation/documentation_main

Table Revised: December 2015