

**Median Value for Specified Owner-Occupied Housing  
Units - Municipalities, 2000 and 2010 - 2014  
(Five-Year Estimates)**

Municipality	Median Value		
	2000	2010 - 2014	% Change
Boonton	\$212,000	\$386,100	82.1%
Boonton Twp.	\$322,600	\$503,300	56.0%
Butler	\$187,500	\$345,900	84.5%
Chatham Boro.	\$376,900	\$719,200	90.8%
Chatham Twp.	\$449,000	\$782,800	74.3%
Chester Boro.	\$313,600	\$492,400	57.0%
Chester Twp.	\$407,900	\$671,800	64.7%
Denville	\$228,300	\$380,800	66.8%
Dover	\$150,500	\$265,300	76.3%
East Hanover	\$322,800	\$494,900	53.3%
Florham Park	\$322,400	\$612,400	90.0%
Hanover	\$286,100	\$477,700	67.0%
Harding	\$665,400	\$1,000,000+	50.3%+
Jefferson	\$180,400	\$335,100	85.8%
Kinnelon	\$354,000	\$549,100	55.1%
Lincoln Park	\$194,300	\$339,000	74.5%
Long Hill	\$297,000	\$457,200	53.9%
Madison	\$362,400	\$645,700	78.2%
Mendham Boro.	\$397,000	\$606,300	52.7%
Mendham Twp.	\$556,200	\$884,600	59.0%
Mine Hill	\$161,900	\$294,400	81.8%
Montville	\$346,600	\$564,100	62.8%
Morris Twp.	\$350,400	\$555,100	58.4%
Morris Plains	\$282,500	\$470,200	66.4%
Morristown	\$224,400	\$398,900	77.8%
Mountain Lakes	\$488,900	\$814,700	66.6%
Mount Arlington	\$183,700	\$292,100	59.0%
Mount Olive	\$197,800	\$356,000	80.0%
Netcong	\$147,400	\$276,400	87.5%
Parsippany	\$234,100	\$409,200	74.8%
Pequannock	\$246,100	\$376,100	52.8%
Randolph	\$329,800	\$511,200	55.0%
Riverdale	\$210,200	\$328,700	56.4%
Rockaway Boro.	\$187,200	\$344,300	83.9%
Rockaway Twp.	\$206,200	\$347,400	68.5%
Roxbury	\$207,400	\$343,300	65.5%
Victory Gardens	\$117,100	\$197,800	68.9%
Washington	\$279,300	\$435,100	55.8%
Wharton	\$165,300	\$277,300	67.8%
<b>Morris County</b>	<b>\$257,400</b>	<b>\$426,700</b>	<b>65.8%</b>
<b>New Jersey</b>	<b>\$170,800</b>	<b>\$319,900</b>	<b>87.3%</b>

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census and 2010 - 2014 American Community Survey

NOTES: Values greater than \$1 million are reported by the Census Bureau as \$1,000,000+

The 2000 housing unit count for Netcong, Roxbury and New Jersey was revised by the Census Bureau under the CQR Program after the initial release of the Census figures; however, these revisions were not included in other enumerated data (including this table). See notes and corrections.

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error, which may be relatively large for smaller geographies and population sub-groups. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error.

For ACS methodology, see: [http://www.census.gov/acs/www/methodology/methodology\\_main](http://www.census.gov/acs/www/methodology/methodology_main)  
For Accuracy of Data, see: [http://www.census.gov/acs/www/data\\_documentation/documentatic](http://www.census.gov/acs/www/data_documentation/documentatic)

Table Revised: December 2015